II. Remarks

Claims 1-22 are pending. The Examiner objected to claim 1 as needing clarification. Claims 1-22 were then rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0125763).

Regarding the objection to claim 1, Applicant respectfully believes the specification provides representative examples, without limitation, of "a first entity" and "a second entity." Accordingly, Applicant requests withdrawal of the objection to claim 1.

Claims 1-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lin et al. The MPEP at §715 states, in part, that:

Affidavits or declarations under 37 CFR 1.131 may be used, for example:

(B) To antedate a reference that qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), where the reference has a prior art date under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to applicant's effective filing date, and shows but does not claim the same patentable invention.

Accordingly, while Applicant does not agree that Lin et al. shows that which is being claimed in this application; nevertheless, Applicant requests consideration of the enclosed §1.131 Declaration antedating Lin et al.

In light of at least the foregoing, claims 1-22 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Timely issuance of a Notice of Allowance of claims 1-22 is therefore requested.

Respectfully submitted.

kinson Registration No. 48,865

Date: 9.18. 2006

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 Main Street, Suite 3100 Dallas, Texas 75202-3789 Telephone: 972-739-6927

Facsimile: 214-200-0853 Attorney Docket No.: 2003-0449/24061.103

R-144218 1.DOC

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signature of person mailing paper and fee